

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

EDITORIALTEAM

EDITORS

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain

Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.



Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

THE ROLE OF WHISTLEBLOWERS IN EXPOSING ECONOMIC CRIME IN INDIA

AUTHORED BY: MS. SITHI NOOR HALIZA.S

Course: I - LL.M (MARITIME LAW).

Affiliation: Student

Institution: The Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University (Soel), Chennai.

CO-AUTHOR: Ms. T. VAISHALI,

B.A. (ENG. LIT)., LL.M., NET., PH. D (PURSUING).

Affiliation: Assistant Professor Of Law

Institution: The Tamil Nadu Dr. Ambedkar Law University (Soel), Chennai.

ABSTRACT

Economic crimes like corruption, fraud, and embezzlement have a big impact on India's progress, and whistleblowers are essential in uncovering these crimes. Their revelations encourage accountability in both the public and private sectors and draw attention to unethical behaviour. Whistleblowers in India, however, confront many obstacles, such as social shame, inadequate legal protection, and reprisal. This study looks at important whistleblowing cases, the judicial system, and the difficulties they encounter. There is also discussion of suggestions for bolstering whistleblower protection systems.

INTRODUCTION

In India, economic crimes such embezzlement, money laundering, fraud, and corruption pose serious problems. These offenses undermine public trust, hinder economic growth, and degrade governance. In order to address these issues, whistleblowers—who reveal these crimes by supplying insider information—are essential.

In India, whistleblowers frequently experience reprisal, including harassment, threats, and termination of employment, despite their vital role. Their situation is made worse by society's view of whistleblowing as treachery. The significance of whistleblowers, noteworthy cases, the legal framework protecting them, and the difficulties they encounter are all examined in this study article.

TYPES OF WHISTLEBLOWERS IN INDIA

In India, whistleblowers have contributed to the discovery of numerous types of economic crime, such as but not exclusive to:

- 1. Corruption in the Public Sector and Government** - Numerous whistleblowers have revealed official bribery, misappropriation of public funds, corruption in government contracts, and the misuse of authority for private benefit. - As an illustration: The notorious ****2G Spectrum Scam**** was made public in part by whistleblowers, who supplied crucial evidence that revealed widespread wrongdoing in the 2G license distribution process.
- 2. Corporate Fraud** - Insider trading, accounting fraud, and false financial reporting are just a few of the financial frauds that have been made public by whistleblowers. The ****Satyam Computers**** controversy in 2009, for instance, was one of the biggest corporate frauds in Indian history after a whistleblower revealed that Ramalinga Raju, the company's founder, had fabricated financial records to boost revenues.
- 3. Money Laundering and Financial Crimes** - People working for corporations or financial institutions have also come forward with information on illicit fund transfers, tax evasion schemes, and money laundering activities. For instance, in the ****Punjab National Bank (PNB) fraud****, where employees disclosed the fraudulent letters of undertaking (LoUs) that permitted Nirav Modi and others to unlawfully siphon off funds, whistleblowers helped expose fraudulent activities in banking institutions.
- 4. Environmental and Health Fraud** - Whistleblowers have exposed environmental violations, such as illegal mining, pollution, and violations of environmental regulations, which often have serious economic consequences. For instance: Various instances of illegal mining in states like Goa and Karnataka were uncovered with the help of whistleblowers, shedding light on large-scale environmental and financial misconduct.

CHALLENGES FACED BY WHISTLEBLOWERS

- 1. Retaliation:** Whistleblowers frequently experience harassment, threats, and job termination. They put their lives at danger in severe situations, like Satyendra Dubey's.
- 2. Inadequate Legal Structure:** Despite its existence, the Whistle Blowers Protection Act of 2014 is not well enforced. The Act lacks prompt redressal procedures and

insufficient anonymity protection.

3. **Cultural Stigma:** People are deterred from coming out since whistleblowers are frequently viewed as traitors or disloyal employees.
4. **Institutional Delays:** The efficacy of whistleblower disclosures is weakened by protracted investigations and institutional corruption in investigating agencies.
5. **Lack of Awareness:** Many workers are afraid of facing legal consequences for coming forward with information about their rights under current legislation.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION IN INDIA

Whistleblower protection is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability, particularly in addressing corruption, fraud, and other illegal activities. In India, the legal framework surrounding whistleblower protection has evolved over time, with the Whistleblower Protection Act, 2014 being the cornerstone of efforts to safeguard individuals who expose corruption and abuse of authority. However, this Act, along with other relevant laws, has notable limitations. Here's an in-depth analysis of the legal framework in India, including additional corresponding laws and comparisons with international whistleblower protection mechanisms.

1. The 2014 Whistleblower Protection Act

The Whistleblower Protection Act, 2014 was enacted to protect individuals who disclose corruption or misuse of authority in public offices. The Act was designed to ensure that whistleblowers can report misconduct without fearing retaliation, such as job loss, harassment, or even threats to their personal safety. However, the Act has several critical limitations that diminish its effectiveness.

Purpose of the Act:

Protection against Retaliation: The primary aim of the Act is to prevent reprisals against individuals who come forward with information about corrupt practices or abuse of power. Whistleblowers are given legal recourse against retaliation.

Promotion of Transparency: The Act encourages transparency in governance by enabling individuals to report wrongdoing without fear of consequences. It also establishes mechanisms for investigating complaints of corruption or abuse of authority.

Limitations and Restrictions:

Despite its noble purpose, the Whistleblower Protection Act has several shortcomings:

Exclusion of Private Sector Whistleblowers: One of the key restrictions of the Act is that it only applies to public sector employees. Whistleblowers working in the business sector, private companies, or non-governmental organizations (NGOs) are not covered by the law. This leaves a significant gap in the legal protection of whistleblowers who expose corporate fraud, financial misconduct, or ethical violations in private companies.

Sluggish Enforcement and Delayed Investigations: The Act's effectiveness is often undermined by the slow pace of enforcement. Complaints or reports of retaliation or corruption can be delayed, and investigations are not always carried out promptly. This causes frustration among whistleblowers, who may give up or be forced into silence as they wait for justice. As a result, the Act has not been fully effective in encouraging individuals to come forward with sensitive information.

Lack of Guaranteed Confidentiality: A major shortcoming of the Act is the lack of guaranteed confidentiality for the whistleblower. Despite legal protections, whistleblowers may still face public exposure or retaliation. If the individual's identity is compromised, it can undermine the entire purpose of the Act, as many whistleblowers fear personal harm or job loss if their identities are disclosed.

These issues have raised concerns about the Act's overall impact on encouraging people to expose corruption and hold those in power accountable.

2. Additional Corresponding Laws

In addition to the Whistleblower Protection Act, 2014, there are other laws in India that can be relevant to whistleblowing. However, they do not specifically address the protection of whistleblowers or their right to anonymity and safety.

Companies Act, 2013:

Under the Companies Act, 2013, certain companies are mandated to have whistleblower policies in place to provide a safe avenue for reporting unethical behaviour or fraudulent activities within the company.

Section 177(9) of the Act specifically requires listed companies and certain other types of companies to have Vigil Mechanisms or Whistleblower Policies, through which employees can

report concerns related to fraud, corruption, or financial mismanagement.

While this law requires companies to have reporting mechanisms, it does not specifically protect the whistleblower from retaliation or ensure their safety and confidentiality. The implementation and enforcement of these policies often depend on the company's internal regulations and corporate culture.

Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988:

The Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 is a key law for addressing corruption in public office. It provides the legal framework for investigating and prosecuting corrupt practices by public servants.

However, this Act does not specifically protect whistleblowers. It allows individuals to report corruption, but there are no legal guarantees of protection for the whistleblower from retaliation or harm.

The lack of whistleblower-specific protections in this Act can dissuade people from coming forward, especially in cases where corruption is deeply entrenched and powerful officials are involved.

3. Comparison with International Laws

Whistleblower protection laws in India are not as comprehensive or robust as those in other countries. Comparing India's legal framework with those of other countries, such as the UK and the US, highlights significant differences in the protection offered to whistleblowers.

UK - Public Interest Disclosure Act (PIDA), 1998:

The Public Interest Disclosure Act (PIDA) in the UK provides strong legal remedies for whistleblowers. It is designed to protect employees who disclose information about wrongdoing at work from retaliation.

Confidentiality and Protection: The Act ensures confidentiality for whistleblowers and offers strong protection against dismissal or other forms of retaliation. If a whistleblower faces retaliation, they can seek legal redress through employment tribunals.

Coverage: PIDA applies to a wide range of organizations, including private companies and

public sector institutions, ensuring broad protection for individuals across various sectors.

USA - Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX), 2002, and Dodd-Frank Act, 2010:

Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX): Enacted in response to major corporate scandals (e.g., Enron and WorldCom), SOX provides extensive protection for whistleblowers in the corporate sector. It prevents employers from retaliating against employees who report fraud or violations of securities laws.

Financial Rewards: The Dodd-Frank Act offers financial incentives for whistleblowers who report securities violations to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Whistleblowers may receive a portion of the monetary sanctions imposed on wrongdoers, which serves as a significant motivation.

Strong Legal Protections: Both SOX and Dodd-Frank offer robust protection from retaliation, ensuring that employees who expose corporate fraud are not subjected to job loss, demotion, or harassment. These laws provide a much more secure legal environment for whistleblowers compared to India.

Comparing India's legal framework with the whistleblower laws in countries like the UK and the US highlights the gaps in protection and incentives for whistleblowers in India. The legal environment for whistleblowers in India needs further strengthening to ensure that individuals who expose corruption and unethical practices are protected from retaliation and incentivized to report misconduct without fear of personal or professional consequences.

IMPACT OF WHISTLEBLOWERS ON ECONOMIC CRIMES

The impact of whistleblowers on economic crimes in India has been significant, particularly in the areas of governance, policy reform, and deterrence of unethical practices. Below is an elaboration of the specific points mentioned:

1. Strengthened Governance

Whistleblowers play a pivotal role in exposing economic crimes and governance failures, which leads to improvements in transparency and accountability across various sectors. Their efforts often bring systemic changes that enhance the integrity of industries like banking, pharmaceuticals, and infrastructure. Here's how:

- **Banking Sector:** The banking industry in India has been plagued by financial

irregularities, non-performing assets (NPAs), and corruption. Whistleblowers have exposed numerous cases of fraudulent loan disbursements, illegal money laundering, and mismanagement within public and private banks. One such example is the *PNB fraud case*, where a whistleblower exposed the involvement of top officials in the fraudulent transactions. These disclosures prompted regulatory bodies like the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) to adopt more stringent auditing procedures and improve the monitoring of financial institutions. Additionally, corporate governance norms were tightened to ensure greater transparency and accountability in banking practices.

- **Pharmaceutical Sector:** In the pharmaceutical industry, whistleblowers have exposed practices such as substandard drug manufacturing, price-fixing, and illegal clinical trials. These exposures have forced the government and regulatory authorities like the *Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO)* to implement stricter safety protocols, better quality controls, and more rigorous inspections. Whistleblowers have been instrumental in ensuring that drug manufacturers comply with ethical practices and that the safety of consumers is prioritized.
- **Infrastructure Sector:** Whistleblowers in the infrastructure sector have highlighted issues such as corruption in construction contracts, substandard quality of work, and misuse of public funds allocated for development projects. For example, *Satyendra Dubey*, a whistleblower in a National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) project, exposed the inefficiency and corruption in the road construction sector. His tragic death led to widespread awareness about the challenges of governance in infrastructure projects, pushing the government to implement more transparent bidding processes and improve the oversight mechanisms for major infrastructure developments.

In these industries, the actions of whistleblowers have been instrumental in strengthening governance by ensuring that public and private sector players operate with higher ethical standards and under stricter oversight.

2. Reforms to Policy

Whistleblower revelations often act as catalysts for policy reforms, particularly in areas where conflicts of interest and corruption can have a significant impact on the economy. Some of the most notable changes have occurred in response to high-profile cases like the *ICICI-Videocon case*.

- **ICICI-Videocon Case:** In this case, whistleblower allegations highlighted a potential conflict of interest in the loans given by ICICI Bank to the Videocon Group. The

accusations involved senior management at ICICI Bank, which raised concerns about corporate governance, improper lending practices, and the misuse of power. As a result, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and other regulatory bodies began reviewing policies related to transparency, conflict-of-interest disclosures, and the accountability of executives in private banks. The incident led to tighter regulations on conflict-of-interest disclosures, and financial institutions were pressured to adopt stricter internal controls to avoid similar incidents in the future.

- **Policy Reforms:** The ICICI-Videocon case also led to the introduction of more stringent guidelines on corporate governance, especially for publicly-listed companies and financial institutions. These reforms focused on greater disclosure of financial dealings, executive compensation, and potential conflicts of interest. Regulators like the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) began to implement more rigorous monitoring systems for banks and corporations to ensure compliance with ethical practices.

These policy reforms aim to prevent future economic crimes by requiring financial institutions and businesses to be more transparent and accountable in their dealings, thus reducing the chances of corruption and unethical practices.

3. Deterrence Effect

Whistleblower cases involving significant economic crimes serve as powerful deterrents for businesses and individuals contemplating unethical or illegal activities. The fear of exposure by a whistleblower, combined with the legal and social consequences, encourages organizations to adopt more ethical and transparent practices. Here's how:

- **High-Profile Cases:** Whistleblower revelations, such as those in the *2G spectrum scam* and the *ICICI-Videocon case*, have had a ripple effect across industries. The publicized consequences of these scandals, including legal action, corporate restructuring, and public outcry, create a strong deterrent against similar behavior. Individuals and organizations are increasingly aware that their actions may be exposed by whistleblowers, leading to a decline in the willingness to engage in fraudulent or corrupt practices.
- **Promoting Moral Behaviour:** The prominence of whistleblower cases has instilled a sense of caution in businesses and government agencies. The potential for exposure has encouraged companies to adopt more transparent accounting practices, ensure better compliance with regulatory requirements, and create internal systems that promote

ethical conduct. In the case of banks and financial institutions, the lessons learned from exposure to financial fraud have prompted stronger risk management protocols and improved oversight by regulatory bodies.

- **Long-Term Cultural Shift:** Over time, the deterrent effect of whistleblower cases can help bring about a cultural shift within organizations and industries. As whistleblowers continue to expose economic crimes, businesses and institutions are less likely to tolerate corrupt practices because of the reputational damage, legal consequences, and potential financial loss that comes with public exposure. This contributes to a broader change in corporate and institutional ethics, fostering an environment where good governance and transparency are prioritized.

KEY CASES OF WHISTLEBLOWERS IN INDIA

Case of Satyendra Dubey-

- **Background:** The Golden Quadrilateral highway project was tainted by widespread corruption, which was revealed by Satyendra Dubey, an engineer with the National Highways Authority of India. He complained about exorbitant expenses, poor quality materials, and anomalies in the choice of contractors.
- **Result:** Dubey was killed following the release of his anonymous letter to the prime minister's office. His passing sparked a public outcry for structural changes and brought attention to the need for improved protection for whistleblowers.

Case of Dinesh Thakur-

- **Background:** Former Ranbaxy Laboratories employee Dinesh Thakur disclosed that the business had provided inferior medications and fabricated drug test results.
- **Impact:** Although Thakur received no assistance or acknowledgment in India, his revelations resulted in a \$500 million fine against Ranbaxy in the US. The absence of strong whistleblower procedures in the Indian pharmaceutical sector was made clear by his case.

Case of ICICI Bank-Videocon Scandal

- **Context:** Fraudulent loan practices involving Chanda Kochhar, the CEO of ICICI Bank, and conflicts of interest in loans given to the Videocon Group were revealed by whistleblowers.

- **Result:** The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) strengthened banking governance standards as a result of Kochhar's departure and legal actions against her following the disclosures.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **Strengthen Legal Protections:** Make sure prompt investigations and enforcement are conducted by amending the Whistle Blowers Protection Act to cover private sector workers.
2. **Establish Autonomous Bodies:** Create a separate authority for protecting whistleblowers that has the resources necessary to look into disclosures and defend those who come forward with information.
3. **Encourage Whistleblowing:** Follow the US model and offer financial incentives and public recognition to those who reveal serious misconduct.
4. **Encourage an Ethical Culture:** Run awareness-raising initiatives to de-stigmatize whistleblowing and support an open and accountable culture.
5. **Fast-Track Investigations:** To avoid reprisals and guarantee prompt action, put in place procedures for the quick investigation of whistleblower concerns.

CONCLUSION

Whistleblowers are indispensable in India's fight against economic crimes. Their contributions to transparency and accountability strengthen democratic values and ethical governance. However, systemic barriers, including weak legal frameworks and cultural stigmas, limit their effectiveness. To empower whistleblowers and harness their potential fully, India must prioritize stronger legal protections, cultural shifts, and institutional reforms. By doing so, the nation can ensure a more transparent and corruption-free future.

REFERENCES

Webliography

- <http://law.dypvp.edu.in>
- www.barandbench.com
- www.legalserviceindia.com

Bibliography

- Law of corporate governance by Deepankar Sharma

- Whistleblower by Tess Gerritsen
- The whistleblowers protection Act 2014

